

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,749	02/26/2004	Jyhchain Lin		6114
25859	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
WEI TE CHUNG			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CL	ARA, CA 95050		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>J</i>		
		LIN, JYHCHAIN			
Office Action Summary	10/788,749 Examiner	Art Unit			
<i></i>					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Michael P. Nghiem	2863 th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	appears on are sever error		r		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the mailing date. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ 7 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matter		its is		
·		•			
Disposition of Claims	ion				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-18)2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Itents have been received in A Depriority documents have been Treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	e		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed on December 12, 2005 has been acknowledged.

Withdrawal of Allowability

The indicated allowability of claims 6 and 9 is withdrawn in view of the new grounds of rejection as follow.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "an area of each dot in the unit area is equal" should be – each area of dots in each of the unit area is equal --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2863

The values or parameters (e.g. area density) haven't been stored, displayed, or used in any tangible manner, merely calculated. Therefore, it raises a question as to what the tangible result of the process is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Love (US 6,757,424).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Love discloses a measuring method (column 8, lines 5-17) for dots of a pattern distributed on a light guide plate (display panel, column 8, line 42), comprising the steps of:

- defining an x-y coordinate system (column 8, line 48) according to the dots (column 8, lines 45-46);
 - selecting a unit area in the coordinate system (selecting any inch area);

Application/Control Number: 10/788,749 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

accounting area of the dots in the unit area (inch area);

- calculating an area density of the dots (e.g. 600 dpi);

- wherein a quantity of the dots in each unit area is invariable, and each area of dots in each of the unit area is equal (600 dpi).

Regarding claim 2, Love discloses that the dots are distributed in rows and columns (dots arranged in X-Y axis).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5, 7, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Love in view of Rylander (US 5,258,832).

Love discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed above except:

- Regarding claims 3 and 5, the dots are arranged at same intervals in rows and columns, respectively.

- Regarding claim 4, the dots are shaped as circle.

Nevertheless, Rylander discloses that dots are arranged at same intervals in rows and columns, respectively (Fig. 6c), the dots are either shaped as circle (Fig. 6c), foursquare (Fig. 9g), elliptic (Fig. 9d), or rectangular (Fig. 5d) for the purpose of displaying dot patterns (column 6, line 13).

Page 5

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Love with the dot arrangements as disclosed by Rylander for the purpose of displaying dot patterns.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on December 12, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the 35 USC 102 rejections, Applicants argue that Love neither teaches nor suggests that the method thereof could be extended to measure area density of the dots of a pattern distributed on a light guide plate.

Examiner's position is that Love discloses a measuring method for dots of a pattern distributed on a light guide plate (display panel, column 8, line 42). It is further noted

Application/Control Number: 10/788,749

Art Unit: 2863

that the recitation the light guide plate has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Applicants further argue that modifying the X-Y-Z system would surely represent a change in the principle of operation of Love.

Examiner's position is that modification of the X-Y-Z system of Love is unnecessary because the X-Y-Z system inherently comprises the X-Y system (column 8, line 48).

Applicants further argue that "600 dpi" has nothing to do with the area density of dots.

Examiner's position is that "600 dpi" identifies the number of dots per inch, which can be construed as the area density of dots.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,749 Page 7

Art Unit: 2863

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

February 10, 2006